Aerosol decadal trends: In-situ measurements of number concentration and optical properties

John A. Ogren

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Earth System Research Laboratory Boulder, Colorado, USA



Acknowledgments

- This talk summarizes the results of two papers currently available at ACPD
 - Coen Collaud and 25 others, Aerosol decadal trends (I): In-situ optical measurements at GAW and IMPROVE stations <u>http://www.atmos-chem-phys-</u> <u>discuss.net/12/20785/2012/</u>
 - Asmi and 25 others, Aerosol decadal trends (II): In-situ aerosol particle number concentrations at GAW and ACTRIS stations <u>http://www.atmos-chem-phys-</u> <u>discuss.net/12/20849/2012/</u>
- Comments welcome!



Acknowledgments

- Lead authors and statistical analysts
 Martine Collaud Coen and Ari Asmi
- Co-authors
 - Betsy Andrews, Paolo Laj, John Ogren
- Contributors and data providers
 - P.P. Aalto, E. Asmi, U. Baltensperger, W. Birmili, N. Bukowiecki, D. Day, M. Fiebig, A.M. Fjaeraa, H. Flentje, A.G. Hallar, A. Hamed, A. Hyvärinen, A. Jefferson, S. G. Jennings, N. Kivekäs, G. Kouvarakis, M. Kulmala, H. Lihavainen, C. Lund Myhre, W. C. Malm, N. Mihapopoulos, J. V. Molenar, C. O'Dowd, B. A. Schichtel, P. Sheridan, A. Virkkula, E. Weingartner, R. Weller, A. Wiedensohler



Background

- Many publications on trends in AOD, PM mass and chemical species concentrations
- Few publications on trends on number concentrations and optical properties
 - Even fewer publications that examine these trends for multiple stations and regions
- Global models of aerosol radiative forcing calculate trends of the parameters we measure directly
 - Light scattering and absorption
 - Number concentration



Objectives

Evaluate trends

 Compile a high-quality data set for comparisons with models



Data Sets

- Networks and Measurements
 IMPROVE (US)
 - Light scattering coefficient
 - ACTRIS (EU) and WMO/GAW
 - Number concentration
 - Light scattering coefficient
 - Light absorption coefficient
- Minimum length of 10 years was required for inclusion
 - Some stations have longer records, but with ruptures in the record



Long-term Sites



Data Screening

- Data sets downloaded from WMO World Data Center for Aerosols (WDCA)
 - Submitted data were quality screened by data providers
- Questionnaire sent to data providers
 - Identify ruptures in the data
 - Inform of relevant site-specific features
- Additional quality screening done by study authors
 - Follow-up questions to data providers
- If necessary, revised data sets submitted to WDCA
- One outcome of this study is higher-quality data sets available for future work



Statistical Analyses

- Three different analytical methods
 - Seasonal Mann-Kendall significance test with Sen's slope estimator
 - Generalized least-squares with autoregressive bootstrap algorithm for determining confidence intervals
 - Least-mean square fit to logarithms of data
- Multiple approaches allow assessment of sensitivity of findings to choice of statistical method



CN Trends (all data)



CN Trends 2001-2010



Trends in Scattering Coefficient



Black symbols: trends not statistically significant. Colored symbols: statistically significant trends



Trends in Absorption Coefficient



Black symbols: trends not statistically significant. Colored symbols: statistically significant trends



J. Ogren 3/4/201

Seasonal Scattering Trends at 5 US Sites



Red circles: statistically significant at 90% confidence level J. Ogrem 3/4/20 Dotted lines show the annual trends



Summary of Decadal Trends (pct/yr)

	Mean,	Mean,	
Scattering Coefficient	all stations	only s.s. trends	
Europe (4/1)	+0.6	+2.6	
USA (14/10)	-2.6	-1.8	
Mauna Loa (1/1)	+2.7	+2.7	
Arctic (1/0)	not s.s.	not s.s.	
Antarctic (1/0)	not s.s.	not s.s.	
Absorption Coefficient			
Europe (3/0)	not s.s.	not s.s.	
USA (1/1)	-2.0	-2.0	
Mauna Loa (1/1)	+9.0	+9.0	
Arctic (1/1)	-6.5	-6.5	
Antarctic (1/1)	-0.07	-0.07	

s.s.="Statistically Significant"

(#/#)=number of stations / number with s.s trends



Summary of Decadal Trends (pct/yr)

Number concentration	Mean, all stations	Mean, only s.s. trends	
Europe (7/4)	-1.3	-2.6	
USA (3/3)	-6.5	-6.5	
Mauna Loa (1/1)	-3.5	-3.5	
Arctic (1/0)	not s.s.		
Antarctic (2/2)	+2.7		



Comparison of CN and Optical Trends

	Dataset	CN Trend (MK) (%/yr)	Scattering coeff. (MK) (%/yr)	g Abs. Coeff (MK) (%/yr)	
Antarct	icaNMY	3.7	2.5	-2.5 🗙	No general
Europe	HPB	0.3	1.7	-3.9	agreement
Europe	JFJ	-1.6	-1.2	-1.0	agreenieni
Europe	MHD	0.9	2.7	-2.0	tranda
Europe	PAL	-3.0	-0.9	-	trenas
US	BND	-7.2	-1.9 🌱	-2.0 🌱	
US	BRW	-1.3	2.4	-6.5	
US	SGP	-5.3	-2.0 🌱	-	
Pacific	MLO	-3.5	2.7 💢	9.0 💢	

Bold: S. significant



Conclusions

- No consistent global trends
 - Different monthly trends for same site/variable
 - Different trends for CN and optical properties
 - Different trends in different regions
- Repeating this analysis in ten years will allow inclusion of many more data sets
 - Many stations have shorter records
 - Inclusion of long-term data sets with ruptures, where justifiable

