# Geoengineering

50th Anniversary of the Global CO<sub>2</sub> Record Symposium and Celebration, 30 November 2007, Kona, Hawaii

**David Keith** 

(keith@ucalgary.ca; www.ucalgary.ca/~keith) Director, Energy and Environmental Systems Group Institute for Sustainable Energy, Environment and Economy University of Calgary











Putting sulfur in the stratosphere

Of order 1-2 Mt-S per year offsets the globaly-averaged radiative forcing from 2×CO<sub>2</sub> (~2-4% of current global S emissions)

~3 gram sulfur in the stratosphere *roughly* offsets 1 ton carbon in the atmosphere (S:C ~ 1:300,000)

Assuming the NAS 1992 number of 20  $kg \rightarrow 30$  billion per year.

Methods:

- 1. Naval guns
- 2. Aircraft
- 3. Tethered balloon with a hose



Models suggest the compensation is quite good

2 x CO<sub>2</sub>

## 2 x CO<sub>2</sub> and 1.8% reduction in solar intensity

Caldeira et al., in prep, 2007

### Experiments by Phil Rasch, Paul Crutzen, Danielle Coleman

NCAR Community Atmosphere Model

Middle atmosphere configuration

- Model top at about 80km ullet
- 52 layers ullet
- 2x2.5 Degree Horizontal resolution ullet
- Finite Volume solution for dynamics ulletwith desirable properties for transport
- Photochemistry includes only that relevant to oxidation of DMS and  $SO_2 \rightarrow SO_4$

Injection of SO<sub>2</sub>

- at 25km
- from 10N 10S
- 1 Tg S/yr assuming a small (or background) aerosol size distribution

Pinatubo ≈10-30 Tg S

# file: avg.1999.DJF, field: SO4 of shown: min 1.2802e-08 max 25.604 gavg-sumz 0.65771



SO4 (ppbv) zonal avg

#### Rasch et al: Annual Average Surface Temperature

abs diff level stats: min −0.453 max 5.1126 avg 0.89357



Geo-SO4/2xCO2 (1Tg Bkg)- Control

Geo-SO4/2xCO2 (2Tg Bkg)- Control



#### **RESTORING THE QUALITY**

OF

### OUR ENVIRONMENT



#### OTHER POSSIBLE EFFECTS OF AN INCREASE IN ATMOSPHERIC CARBON DIOXIDE

Melting of the Antarctic ice cap.—It has sometimes been suggested that atmospheric warming due to an increase in the  $CO_2$  content of the atmosphere may result in a catastrophically rapid melting of the Antarctic ice cap, with an accompanying rise in sea level. From our knowledge of events at the end of the Wisconsin period, 10 to 11 thousand years ago, we know that melting of continental ice caps can occur very rapidly on a geologic time scale. But such melting must occur relatively slowly on a human scale.

The Antarctic ice cap covers 14 million square kilometers and is about 3 kilometers thick. It contains roughly  $4 \ge 10^{16}$  tons of ice, hence  $4 \ge 10^{24}$  gram calories of heat energy would be required to melt it. At the present time, the poleward heat flow across 70° latitude is  $10^{22}$  gram calories per year, and this heat is being radiated to space over Antarctica without much measurable effect on the ice cap. Suppose that the poleward heat flux were increased by 10% through an intensification of the maridianal etmospheric sinculation and that all of this increase in the

The climatic changes that may be produced by the increased CO<sub>2</sub> ld content could be deleterious from the point of view of human beings. ıе The possibilities of deliberately bringing about countervailing climatic ne icchanges therefore need to be thoroughly explored. A change in the ge re radiation balance in the opposite direction to that which might result es ed from the increase of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> could be produced by raising the se Such a change in albedo could be albedo, or reflectivity, of the earth. p,

This is a hundred times greater than present worldwide rates of sea level change.

Warming of sea water.—If the average air temperature rises, the temperature of the surface ocean waters in temperate and tropical regions could be expected to rise by an equal amount. (Water temperatures in the polar regions are roughly stabilized by the melting and freezing of ice.) An oceanic warming of  $1^{\circ}$  to  $2^{\circ}C$  (about  $2^{\circ}F$ ) oc-

THE WHITE HOUSE

NOVEMBER 1965

#### ALBEDO ENHANCEMENT BY STRATOSPHERIC SULFUR INJECTIONS: A CONTRIBUTION TO RESOLVE A POLICY DILEMMA?

**Cool Geo-Whiz Warming Ideas** 



#### Green.view

### Dr Strangelove saves the earth

S Jan 15th 2007 From Economist.com

с с

#### How big science might fix climate change

"massive and drastic" operations, as the chief U.N Print | E-mail | Subscribe | + Share describes them.

The Nobel Prize-winning scientist who first made the himself "not enthusiastic about it."

neat-trapping greenhouse gases.

Their proposals were relegated to the fringes of clima

Few journals would publish them. Few government as Environmentalists and mainstream scientists said the greenhouse gases and preventing global warming in t

#### Page 2 of 2

By Bret Schulte Posted 10/15/06



More scientists are thinking outside the box on global warming-way outside

Tuesda	iy, September (	25, 2007		
Business	Education	Opinion	Photos & Video	Rank
	at			

Engineered scattering systems

Alternative scattering systems

Oxides

-  $H_2SO_4$  or  $AI_2O_3$ 

- Metallic particles (10-10<sup>3</sup> × lower mass)
  - Disks, micro-balloons or gratings
- Resonant ( $10^4$ - $10^6 \times \text{lower mass }?$ )
  - Encapsulated organic dyes

What you might get:

- Much lower mass
- Spectral selectivity



JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH, VOL. 105, NO. D3, PAGES 3727-3736, FEBRUARY 16, 2000

## Vertical transport of anthropogenic soot aerosol into the middle atmosphere

R. F. Pueschel,<sup>1</sup> S.Verma,<sup>2</sup> H. Rohatschek,<sup>3</sup> G. V. Ferry,<sup>1</sup> N. Boiadjieva,<sup>4</sup> S. D. Howard,<sup>5</sup> and Λ. W. Strawa<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract.** Gravito-photophoresis, a sunlight-induced force acting on particles which are geometrically asymmetric and which have uneven surface distribution of thermal accommodation coefficients, explains vertical transport of fractal soot aerosol emitted by aircraft in conventional flight corridors (10-12 km altitude) into the mesosphere (>80 km altitude). While direct optical effects of this aerosol appear nonsignificant, it is conceivable that they play a role in mesospheric physics by providing nuclei for polar mesospheric cloud formation and by affecting the ionization of the mesosphere to contribute to polar mesospheric summer echoes.

### **Photophoresis**





Gravito-Photophoresis



#### Conceptual design: A levitated disk





Photophoretic levitation of nano-engineered scatterers for climate engineering

- 1. Long atmospheric lifetimes
  - → Lower cost and impact of replenishment
  - → Can afford more elaborately engineered scatters
- 2. Particles above the stratosphere

→ less ozone impact.

2. The ability to concentrate scattering particles near the poles

→ Concentrate climate engineering where it's needed most.







Warning: Moral Hazard

Knowledge that geoengineering is possible Climate impacts look less fearsome A weaker commitment to cutting emissions now "Interest in  $CO_2$  may generate or reinforce a lasting interest in national or international means of climate and weather modification; once generated, that interest may flourish independent of whatever is done about  $CO_2$ ."

1982 US National Academy study, *Changing Climate*.

### **Questions & Opinions**

### **Opinions**

- 1. We need a serious research program
  - Impacts & methods and implications
  - International
  - Need not be large \$\$ to make enormous progress.
- 2. Geoengineering should be treated as a means of managing the worst impacts of climate change, not as a substitute for emissions controls.
- 3. The science community should expect to loose control.

#### Questions

- 1. How can we best avoid the geoengineering  $\leftarrow \rightarrow$  mitigation trade off?
- 2. Should we work toward a treaty? An alternate mechanism?

Eos, Transactions, American Geophysical Union, Vol. 73, No. 27, July 7, 1992, Pages 289, and 292-293.

Current discussions of geoengineering are unsystematic and take insufficient account of prior results. The possibility of unpleasant suprises in the climate system justifies a more coherent (though not large) research program in order to define fallback: options needed to make reasonable policy choices. A rational allocation of research priorities dictates that some resources be spent to study geoengineering unless nasty surprises are assigned a zero probability.

erate manipulation of climate forcings intended to keep the climate in a desired state, in contrast to abatement, which reunlimited energy at fixed (usually high) marginal cost. ception of direct ocean disposal and afforestation, these schemes have the theoretical potential to mitigate the full effect of anthro-

The existence of a fallback is critically











