BOMB RADIOCARBON CONSTRAINTS ON AIR-SEA GAS EXCHANGE: A NEW PARAMETERISATION OF THE PISTON VELOCITY – WIND SPEED RELATIONSHIP

T. Naegler^{1,2}, K. Rodgers³, P. Ciais² and I. Levin¹

¹Institut für Umweltphysik, University of Heidelberg, Germany

²Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

³Laboratoire d'Océanographie Dynamique et de Climatologie, Paris, France
tobias.naegler@iup.uni-heidelberg.de; keith.rodgers@lodyc.jussieu.fr; philippe.ciais@cea.fr;
ingeborg.levin@iup.uni-heidelberg.de

ABSTRACT

We used recent ocean bomb radiocarbon inventory estimates for the time of GEOSECS (mid-1970s) and WOCE (mid-1990s) from Peacock [2004] and Key et al. [2004], corrected for missing ocean areas [Naegler 2005], to develop a new parameterisation of the piston velocity – wind speed relationship of CO_2 air-sea gas exchange. For monthly mean climatological winds on a 1°x1° grid, this results in a gas exchange parameter $a_{q,660}$ of 0.32 ± 0.04 (in cm hr⁻¹ m⁻² s²) and a net oceanic CO_2 uptake of 1.53 ± 0.18 PgC/yr for the mid-1990s, when using the Takahashi et al. [2002] pCO₂ data.

INTRODUCTION

The inventory of bomb radiocarbon in the global oceans provides constraints for the air-sea gas exchange of CO₂, as the exchange flux of CO₂ is controlled by the same piston velocity as that of bomb ¹⁴CO₂. *Broecker et al.* [1985] have used their ocean bomb radiocarbon inventory estimate for the time of GEOSECS to estimate a global mean piston velocity of 21.9 cm hr⁻¹. *Wanninkhof* [1992] used this value to parameterise a quadratic relationship between the piston velocity and the wind speed, which has been the standard parameterisation since then. However, *Peacock* [2004] and *Key et al.* [2004] published observation-based ocean bomb radiocarbon inventory estimates for the time of GEOSECS and WOCE which are significantly lower than the *Broecker et al.* [1985] estimate. In *Naegler et al.* [this issue, see also *Naegler*, 2005], we can show with the help of a simple (radio-) carbon cycle model that the ocean bomb radiocarbon inventory estimates from Peacock [2004] for the GEOSECS and WOCE ocean surveys and from *Key et al.* [2004] for WOCE are consistent with available tropospheric and stratospheric radiocarbon observations and our general understanding of the global carbon cycle, whereas the inventory estimate from *Broecker et al.* [1985] is too high. These new findings urge for a re-evaluation of bomb radiocarbon inventory constraints on air-sea gas exchange.

METHOD

The air-sea flux of CO₂, F_{CO2} , is usually calculated from the piston velocity k, the solubility L and the CO₂ partial pressure difference ΔpCO_2 between atmosphere and surface ocean: $F_{co2} = k \cdot L \cdot \Delta pCO_2$. The flux of (bomb) radiocarbon into the ocean, $F_{14CO2,b}$, depends on the same piston velocity k and the same solubility L, but is driven by the effective (fractionation-corrected) bomb $^{14}CO_2$ partial pressure difference $\Delta^{14}pCO_2^{b,eff}$ between atmosphere and ocean: $F_{14CO2,b} = k \cdot L \cdot \Delta p^{14}CO_2^{b,eff}$. The piston velocity k is usually parameterised as a function of wind speed u and the Schmidt Number Sc. Here we follow the approach of Wanninkhof [1992] who assumed a quadratic relationship between the piston velocity and wind speed. The piston velocity k is therefore parameterised as follows: $k = a_0 \cdot u^2 \cdot (Sc/660)^{-0.5}$. As the bomb radiocarbon inventory in the ocean is equal to the (temporally and spatially) integrated flux of bomb radiocarbon into the ocean, the crucial parameter $a_{q,660}$ can be calculated from the observed bomb radiocarbon inventory I_b^{14} in the ocean:

$$a_{q.660} = \frac{I_{B}^{14}(t_{1})}{\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \int_{S} u^{2} \cdot \left(\frac{Sc}{660}\right)^{-0.5} \cdot L \cdot \Delta p^{14} CO_{2}^{b.eff} dS dt}$$

To calculate $a_{q,660}$, we use the new ocean bomb radiocarbon inventory estimates from *Peacock* [2004] and *Key et al.* [2004]. The original inventory estimates were corrected for missing ocean areas [*Naegler* 2005]. Furthermore, we use five different global wind speed estimates from satellites and reanalyses and Δ^{14} pCO₂^{b,eff} values from *Naegler* [2005] in our analysis.

RESULTS

Our analysis shows that (1) global wind speed estimates differ significantly in many regions over the ocean, which introduces a significant source of uncertainty into any gas exchange parameterisation; (2) the parameterisation of air-sea gas exchange depends on the temporal and spatial resolution of the wind fields; (3) it is possible to approximate $a_{q,660}$ by the following equation (where the square brackets denote the global average):

$$a_{q.660} = c_{q.660} \cdot \frac{I_b^{14}}{\langle u^2 \rangle}$$
 with $c_{q.660} = \int_{r_0}^{r_1} \int_{S} L \cdot \left(\frac{Sc}{660} \right) \cdot \Delta p^{14} CO_2^{b.eff} dS dt$

This formulation has two main advantages: It can easily be adapted to any future estimate of the ocean bomb radiocarbon inventory as well as to improved global wind speed estimates. Furthermore, the uncertainty of $a_{q,660}$ can be addressed by taking into account uncertainties in the wind fields and in the observed bomb radiocarbon inventory. Uncertainties in $c_{q,660}$ are difficult to assess; in this analysis, they are neglected. However, $c_{q,660}$ (and therefore $a_{q,660}$) still depends on the temporal and spatial resolution of the analysis. For a monthly mean climatology of wind fields on a 1° x 1° grid, we obtained a value for $a_{q,660}$ of 0.32 ± 0.04 cm hr⁻¹ m⁻² s², significantly lower than the estimate from *Wanninkhof* [1992] for long term winds (0.39 cm hr⁻¹ m⁻² s²). Applied to the Takahashi et al. [2002] pCO₂ distribution, our gas exchange formulation yields a net CO₂ uptake by the ocean of 1.53 ± 0.18 PgC/yr for the reference year 1995. The given error comprises uncertainties in the wind fields and in the ocean inventories, but not uncertainties in Δ pCO₂ nor Δ p¹⁴CO₂b.eff. Our estimate is lower than the (corrected) value from *Takakashi* [2002] of 1.64 ± 0.33 PgC/yr. If a natural oceanic CO₂ source of 0.6PgC/yr due to the river loop is taken into account [*Sarmiento and Sundquist*, 1992], we yield an oceanic uptake of anthropogenic carbon of about 2.1PgC/yr, which is lower (but still consistent within uncertainties) than estimates based on the change of the atmospheric O₂/N₂ ratio (2.4 ± 0.7 PgC/yr for the 1990s, *Plattner et al.* [2002] resp. 2.3 ± 0.7 PgC/yr for 1990-1996, *Bopp et al.* [2002]).

REFERENCES

Broecker, W. S., T.-S. Peng, G. Östlund and M. Stuiver (1985), The distribution of bomb radiocarbon in the ocean, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 90, 6953-6970

Bopp, L., C. Le Quéré, M. Heimann, A. C. Manning (2002), Climate-induced oceanic oxygen fluxes: Implications for the contemporary carbon budget, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *16*, doi: 10.1029/2001GB001445

Key, R. M, A. Kozyr, C. L. Sabine, K. Lee, R. Wanninkhof, J. L. Bullister, R. A. Feely, F. J. Millero, C. Mordy, and T.-H.Peng (2004), A global ocean carbon climatology: Results from Global Data Analysis Project (GLODAP), *Global Biogeochem. Cycl.*, 18, doi:10.1029/GB002247

Naegler, T. (2005), Simulating bomb radiocarbon: Implications for the global carbon cycle, PhD Thesis, University of Heidelberg, Germany

Peacock, S. (2004), Debate over the ocean bomb radiocarbon sink: Closing the Gap, *Global Biogeochem. Cycl. 18*, doi:10.29/2003GB002211

Plattner, G.-K., F. Joos and T. F. Stocker (2002), Revision of the global carbon budget due to changing air-sea oxygen fluxes, *Global Biogeochem. Cycl.*, *16*, doi:10.1029/2001GB001746

Sarmiento, J. L., and E. T. Sundquist (1992), Revised budget for the oceanic uptake of anthropogenic carbon dioxide, *Nature*, 356, 589-593

Takahashi, T., S. C. Sutherland, C. Sweeney, A. Poisson, N. Metzl, B. Tilbrook, N. Bates, R. Wanninkhof, R. A. Feely, C. Sabine, J. Olafson, and Y. Nojiri (2002), Global sea-air CO₂ flux based on climatological surface ocean pCO₂ and seasonal biological and temperature effects, *Deep-Sea Research II*, 49, 1601-1622

Wanninkhof, R. (1992), Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 7373-7382