## VARIATIONS IN ATMOSPHERIC O2 AND CO2 IN THE SOUTHERN OCEAN REGION FROM CONTINUOUS SHIP-BASED MEASUREMENTS

<u>R. L. Thompson<sup>1, 2, 3</sup></u>, A. C. Manning<sup>2</sup>, D. C. Lowe<sup>1</sup> and C. Rödenbeck<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research, Wellington, New Zealand

<sup>2</sup>Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry (MPI-BGC), Jena, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand

# ABSTRACT

Variations in atmospheric oxygen ( $O_2$ ) are a sensitive indicator of biogeochemical processes involved in the global carbon cycle. To improve our understanding of these processes, we developed a system for continuous high precision measurements of atmospheric  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  that is suitable for shipboard use. This system was employed on two voyages in the Western Pacific sector of the Southern Ocean, in February 2003 and April 2004. Elevated  $O_2$  concentrations were observed south of New Zealand and across the Chatham Rise suggesting that these regions of ocean are outgassing  $O_2$  in late summer to autumn.

### **INTRODUCTION**

In the last decade, high precision measurements of atmospheric  $O_2$  have become increasingly important for tracing ocean-atmosphere and land-atmosphere exchanges of  $CO_2$  [eg. *Keeling et al.*, 1996].  $O_2$  is a useful tracer because the processes of photosynthesis, respiration and fossil fuel burning involve stoichiometric changes in  $O_2$  as well as  $CO_2$ . The development of new methods capable of measuring  $O_2$  to ppm-level have enabled these changes to be observed and used to estimate the partitioning of atmospheric  $CO_2$  uptake by the land and ocean [eg. *Keeling et al.*, 1996].

More recently, the ratio of the change in  $O_2$  versus  $CO_2$  for land photosynthesis and respiration ( $O_2:CO_2 = -1.1$ ) has been used to subtract the land-based influence on atmospheric  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  concentrations, resulting in an atmospheric tracer that is sensitive principally to ocean-atmosphere exchange [*Stephens et al.*, 1998]. This tracer is known as Atmospheric Potential Oxygen (APO):

$$APO = O_2 + 1.1[CO_2 - C_{ref}]$$

Measurements of APO are a valuable comparison for model estimates of ocean-atmosphere fluxes of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  when coupled to atmospheric transport models to produce spatial and temporal gradients of APO. However, large discrepancies currently exist between model-based and observation-based estimates of APO. Models underestimate APO in the southern hemisphere and predict a decreasing trend from the low to high southern latitudes, which is not observed in measurements from the flask-sampling network [*Stephens et al.*, 1998]. To resolve these discrepancies and to improve estimates of regional ocean-atmosphere  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  fluxes more observational data are required. Ship-based measurements can cover large areas not sampled by the station network and continuous measurements enable short-term variations to be resolved. Our measurements are only the second set of continuous ship-based measurements anywhere in the world and are the first from the Pacific sector of the Southern Ocean. We measure  $O_2$  mole fraction using a fuel cell technique (model: Oxzilla, Sable Systems).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

 $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  were measured from 18 to 26 February 2003 between Wellington, New Zealand (41°S, 175°E) and the Antarctic coast (66°S, 140°E). A southward increasing trend in APO was observed between 45°S and 47°S and APO remained elevated to 57°S, suggesting a significant oceanic  $O_2$  source in the southern mid-latitudes. South of 57°S, APO decreased. The ship-based observations were compared with APO from two model simulations: 1) the GCTM atmospheric transport model [*Mahlman & Moxim*, 1978] coupled to  $O_2$  flux estimates from *Gruber et al.* [2001] and *Najjar & Keeling* [2000], and to  $CO_2$  flux estimates from *Gloor et al.* [2003] and *Takahashi* [1999], together this is denoted, GG-GCTM, and 2) the TM3 atmospheric transport model [*Heimann*, 1995] coupled to the ocean biogeochemistry model, PISCES [*Buitenhuis et al.*, 2005] together denoted, PISCES-TM3. The increasing APO gradient was predicted by GG-GCTM and PISCES-TM3, however, both models underestimated its magnitude (see Fig. 1a). The discrepancy in the GG-GCTM simulation most likely results from uncertainties in the spatio-temporal structure of the seasonal air-sea  $O_2$  flux climatology. The PISCES-TM3 model also failed to reproduce the decrease in APO south of 57°S probably due to an under-estimation of isopycnal mixing in the high southern latitudes resulting in too little  $O_2$  ingassing. In the second voyage, 17 to 29 April 2004,  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  were measured on a round trip from Wellington (41°S, 175°E) to an oceanographic mooring site (57°S, 175°E). High APO was observed south of 49°S and increased throughout the voyage. On the return leg, positive APO excursions were seen between 52°S and 47°S and corresponded with air originating from the southern coast of the South Island of New Zealand and the Chatham Rise. These regions appear to be outgassing  $O_2$  at this time of the year due to the influence of biological production. The GG-GCTM model reproduced the observed southward increasing APO gradient but not the high APO between 52°S and 47°S, which was reproduced by the PISCES-TM3 model (see Fig. 1b).

## CONCLUSIONS

The ship-based observations of APO exhibited significant variability on timescales of several hours to days most likely due to changes in air-sea  $O_2$  fluxes resulting from oceanic mixing and biological production.



**Fig. 1** Hourly averaged APO from shipboard measurements plotted with simulated APO from PISCES-TM3 and GG-GCTM. Simulated APO is adjusted on the vertical axis to match the mean concentration at the station Baring Head ( $41^{\circ}$ S,  $175^{\circ}$ E) on the  $15^{\text{th}}$  of the month. February 2003 comparison (a), and April 2004 comparison (b).

Comparison of APO from the April 2004 observations with that simulated by PISCES-TM3 showed much better agreement suggesting that the improved resolution of biologically driven fluxes (as in the PISCES model) is necessary to improve estimates of the  $O_2$  signal in the Southern Ocean.

## REFERENCES

- Buitenhuis, E.T., Le Quéré, C., Aumont, O., Bunker, A., Hirst, A., Ikeda, T., T., O.B., Piontkovski, S., (2005) Biogeochemical fluxes mediated by mesozooplankton, to be submitted to. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*.
- Gloor, M., Gruber, N., Sarmiento, J., Sabine, C.L., Feely, R.A., (2003) A first estimate of present and preindustrial air-sea CO<sub>2</sub> flux patterns based on ocean interior carbon measurements and models. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30(1), 1-4.
- Gruber, N., Gloor, M., Fan, S., Sarmiento, J., (2001) Air-sea flux of oxygen estimated from bulk data: Implication for the marine and atmospheric oxygen cycles. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 15(4), 783-803.
- Heimann, M., (1995) The TM2 Tracer Model, Model Description and User Manual, pp. 47. Deutsches Klimarechenzentrum, Hamburg.
- Keeling, R.F., Piper, S.C., Heimann, M., (1996) Global and hemispheric CO<sub>2</sub> sinks deduced from changes in atmospheric O<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Nature*, 381, 218-221.
- Mahlman, J.D., Moxim, W.J., (1978) Tracer simulation using a global general circulation model: Results from a mid-latitude instantaneous source experiment. J. Atmospheric Science, 35, 1340-1374.
- Najjar, R.G., Keeling, R.F., (2000) Mean annual cycle of the air-sea oxygen flux: A global view. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 14(2), 573-584.
- Stephens, B.B., Keeling, R.F., Heimann, M., Six, K.D., Murnane, R., Caldeira, K., (1998) Testing global ocean carbon cycle models using measurements of atmospheric O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 12(2), 213-230.
- Takahashi, T., Wanninkhof, R.H., Feely, R.A., Weiss, R.F., Chipman, D.W., Bates, N.R., Olafsson, J., Sabine, C.L., Sutherland, S.G., (1999) Net air-sea CO<sub>2</sub> flux over the global oceans: an improved estimate based on the air-sea pCO<sub>2</sub> difference. In: Second International CO<sub>2</sub> in the Oceans Meeting, CGER-1037-99, pp. 9-15, Tsukuba, Japan.