Long-term CH₄ Observations at the GAW site Jungfraujoch with Gas Chromatography and Cavity Ringdown Spectroscopy

Martin Steinbacher, Christoph Zellweger, Brigitte Buchmann

Empa, Laboratory for Air Pollution / Environmental Technology, Duebendorf, Switzerland

NOAA ESRL GMAC, Boulder, 17 – 18 May 2011

Laboratory for Air Pollution/Environmental Technology



Jungfraujoch (JFJ)



3580 m asl, 7° 59' E, 46° 32' N

- part of the Swiss National Air Pollution Network
- one of the 28 Global GAW sites

well suited for

- monitoring the free troposphere
- European source allocations





Methane Measurements @ JFJ

GC-FID

Agilent 6890N with FID (CH₄, CO) and ECD (N₂O, SF₆) detector

since February 2005



- Iength of run: 12min
- each ambient air sample is bracketed with calibration runs
- standards are traced back to NOAA/ESRL certified gas cylinders (NOAA04 scale)
- target gas measurements three times a week (3 subsequent analysis)
- samples are dried with a Nafion dryer
- precision based on recurrent working standard analyses: ~ 0.2% for CH₄

Methane Measurements @ JFJ

Cavity Ringdown Spectrometer

Picarro G1301 equipped with custom-built calibration and drying unit

since December 2009

- continuous operation; CH₄ data every 4 seconds
- calibration runs (2 standards) every 46 hours
- data are reported on the NOAA04 scale
- target gas measured every 15 hours
- samples were dried with a Nafion dryer until August 2010
- mean precision for 20 mins of working standard analyses: < 0.02% for CH₄





Methane comparison @ JFJ

- Agilent 6890 (GC-FID) and Picarro G1301 (CRDS) are running in parallel since January 2010
- GC-FID: quasi-continuous (24-min); CRDS: continuous measurements





Methane comparison @ JFJ

- main structures are well captured by both techniques
- mean difference (CRDS-GC) Jan 2010 to March 2011:
 -0.4 ± 4.7 ppb (1σ)
- periods with differences between the two techniques due to different temporal coverage





Complete Methane record @ JFJ





Methane comparison @ JFJ

- high-frequency CRDS data allow to identify periods with short-term fluctuations
- fluctuations usually show only little influence on aggregated data
- however, CRDS data might be useful to identify periods under local to regional influence





Background determination with high-resolution data

- as first guess, hourly averages with standard deviation < (3 x instrument precision) are considered as data recorded during background (here: free troposphere) conditions
- spline fit through these data compares reasonable well with background fit based on an advanced statistical approach (robust local regression; REBS (Ruckstuhl et al., 2010, AMTD)





- water vapor correction instead of sample drying (= less maintenance, no potential interaction of the sample with the drying system)
- correction accounts for both dilution and spectroscopic effects
- water vapor measurements are available as an additional parameter!



water vapor mixing ratio (%)



Advantage of simultaneous H2O measurements

- water vapor correction instead of sample drying (= less maintenance, no potential interaction of the sample with the drying system)
- correction accounts for both dilution and spectroscopic effects
- water vapor measurements are available as an additional parameter!



injection of water droplet











Stability of calibration

- influence of Nafion dryer
- drying system humidifies standards
- amount of humidification depends on ambient air humidity
- at least for CO₂: non-negligible losses in the drying system standards have to be treated as ambient air



- CRDS and GC-FID data show a very good agreement
- high time resolution data provides information to be used as background condition identifier
- humidity correction function for CRDS easily experimentally determinable, pretty stable over time
- application of humidity correction allows to measure humid air streams and to calculate dry air mixing ratios

