Longstanding Discrepancies in Stratospheric Water Vapor Measurements Revisited During the 2011 Mid-latitude Airborne Cirrus Properties Experiment (MACPEX)



Earth System Research Laboratory Global Monitoring Division

Dale Hurst Emrys Hall Allen Jordan

Earth System Research Laboratory Chemical Sciences Division

Troy Thornberry Drew Rollins Ru-Shan Gao Sean Davis Karen Rosenlof David Fahey



Harvard Department of Chemistry & Chemical Biology

Jessica Smith Maryann Sargent David Sayres



Institute for Energy and Climate Research Stratosphere (IEK-7)

Cornelius Schiller Martina Krämer Tim Klostermann

# Stratospheric water vapor differences - one historical view



### These differences are:

Fairly consistent with altitude during each flight

Quite variable from one flight/campaign to the next

Typically 15-60% of the water vapor mixing ratio

Why are water vapor measurement differences a concern?

 Disparities of this magnitude infer sizeable uncertainties in water vapor measurements (inaccuracy)

 Biases that vary with time add significant uncertainties to long-term water vapor trends



### Differences Also Exist Between Satellite-Borne Sensors



MLS - HALOE ≈ 0.5 ppmv during 16-month operational overlap

- → Good agreement between MLS and FPH suggests HALOE adjustment
- → Adjusted HALOE: +0.5 ppmv (Davis and Rosenlof)



Frost Point - Satellite • HALOE (adjusted) • MLS

Boulder Overpasses No statistical biases <u>Significant trends</u>: FP-HALOE: 18-100 hPa FP-MLS: none

Lauder Overpasses No statistical biases No significant trends (MLS only)







#### Aircraft Instrument Comparisons





# Aircraft Instrument Differences - Summary Statistics









As of 24 Mar 11

# Balloon vs Aircraft Instrument Comparisons



# A/C Instrument - Frost Point Differences: Summary Stats



# Conclusions

Since 1993: campaign-dependent biases of 15-60% between Harvard Lyman-  $\alpha$  and NOAA Frost Point Hygrometers

Biases between HALOE & MLS/FPH in 2004-05 suggest HALOE adjustment

- FP-adjusted HALOE: significant trends at 18-100 hPa (1991-2005)
- FP-MLS: No biases or trends (2004-2012)

MACPEX: statistically significant biases between 3 aircraft instruments HW-FISH (0.5±0.2 ppmv) & HW-CIMS (-0.3±0.2 ppmv)

MACPEX: no statistically significant bias between FP and FISH (0.0±0.2 ppmv)

MACPEX: statistically significant biases between FP and HW and CIMS HW-FP (0.4±0.1 ppmv) & CIMS-FP (0.7±0.2 ppmv)

(these are <u>consistent</u> with the biases between aircraft instruments)

Biases revealed during MACPEX are smaller than most historical differences between HW and the Frost Point Hygrometers This indicates progress in eliminating water vapor measurement biases but further efforts are clearly necessary

# Thank you for your attention



### Aircraft - Balloon Coincidences

