Atmospheric Lifetimes of CFC-11 and NF₃: Temperature dependent UV absorption cross sections

<u>Max R. McGillen^{1,2}</u>, V.C. Papadimitriou^{1,2,}, E.L. Fleming³, C.H. Jackman³, and J.B. Burkholder¹

¹Earth System Research Laboratory, Chemical Sciences Division, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, Colorado, USA.

²Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, Univ. of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA. ³NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, USA.







Motivation for accurate laboratory measurements

- Experimental measurements of $\sigma(\lambda, T)$ represent a constraint on:
 - Atmospheric lifetimes
 - Global-warming potentials
 - Ozone-depletion potentials
- Interpretation of field data
- Increased accuracy/ reduces uncertainty in model calculated lifetimes

Outline

- Temperature dependent absorption cross section measurements presented for CFC-11 and NF₃
- Measurements are compared with current recommendations for modeling
- The impact of including these new data on 2-D modeled atmospheric lifetimes are discussed

Why measure CFC-11 $\sigma(\lambda, T)$?

- UV photolysis is the major loss process in the atmosphere
- Many room temperature measurements, but relatively few studies at stratospheric temperatures
- Model recommendations primarily based on two studies, but there is some discrepancy (as much as 25%)
- This level of uncertainty has an impact on calculated atmospheric lifetimes

Absorption cross section



T range: 216–296 K, λ range: 190–230 nm Typical precision: ± 0.5%, accuracy: ± 4% (2 σ)

Absorption cross section



T range: 216–296 K, λ range: 190–230 nm Typical precision: ± 0.5%, accuracy: ± 4% (2 σ)

Cross section results



- Systematic decrease
 in σ with T
- Monotonic decrease in σ with λ

• Manuscript in prep.

Cross section results



Comparison with parameterization



- Data is fitted well with the parameterization
- High-precision exp. data
- Appropriate fitting routine for model calcs.

Comparison with JPL recommendation



- Simon *et al*. is the current JPL recommendation
- Simon *et al*. data shows devation in T-dep, >20%

Comparison with literature



- Both Mérienne and Chou studies are found to be in good agreement
- Some systematic differences at shorter wavelengths

Exp. / Fit

Loss processes Local Lifetime (years) 10000 1000 10 100 0.1 0.01 90 0.005 0.01 80 0.02 70 - 0.05 0.1 60 - 0.2 Pressure (hPa) - 0.5 Altitude (km) 50 2 40 5 10 30 20 50 20 O(¹D) reaction 100 Photolysis Lyman-a 200 Photolysis (169-190 nm) 10 Photolysis (190-230 nm) Photolysis (230-286 nm) - 500 Total 0+ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 10⁻¹² 10⁻¹¹ 10⁻⁹ 10⁻¹⁰ 10⁻⁸ 10⁻⁷ 10⁻⁶ 10^{-5} First-Order Rate Constant (s⁻¹)



- Critical λ range for atmospheric loss: 190–230 nm
- Most CFC-11 destruction between 15–30 km
- Local lifetime in the stratosphere ~1 year
- Calculated global lifetime: 58.1 years



CFC-11 summary

- Data impacts calc. lifetimes from current JPL
- Modeled lifetime decreased from 60.2 (SPARC) to 58.1 years (this work)
- Uncertainty in stratospheric photolysis rate decreased from ~25% to 4%
- Leading to a range in atmospheric lifetimes ±0.7 years (57.4 – 58.8 years)

NF₃

- Persistent greenhouse gas with a high GWP (~500 year lifetime)
- Mixing ratios are increasing in the atmosphere
- Previous studies focused on the room temperature σ (biased model calculated lifetimes)
- NF₃ σ(λ, T) measured using the same approach as was used for CFC-11



Local Lifetime (years)



- Inclusion of temperature dependence in σ is important
- Maximum atmospheric loss is between 25–50 km

Papadimitriou *et al*.
 2013 (GRL)

Local Lifetime (years)



- Inclusion of temperature dependence in σ is important
- Maximum atmospheric loss is between 25–50 km

Papadimitriou *et al*.
 2013 (GRL)

NF₃ summary

- Inclusion of temperature dependence of the NF₃ UV absorption spectrum, the calculated global lifetime is increased from 484 (without) to 585 (with) years (includes O(¹D) losses 29%)
- NF₃ exhibits a strong temperature dependence to $\sigma(\lambda, T)$, ~45% decrease at 210 nm
- GWP \rightarrow 100 yr time horizon = +1.1% (19,700) \rightarrow 500 yr time horizon = +6.5% (17,700)

Any questions?