



Top-down estimate of methane emissions in California using aircraft measurements



Yuyan Cui, Jerome Brioude, Wayne M. Angevine, Stuart A. McKeen, Jeff Peischl, Daven K. Henze, Nicolas Bousserez, Marc L. Fischer, Seongeun Jeong, Hope A. Michelsen, Ray P. Bambha, Zhen Liu, Gregory W. Santoni, Bruce C. Daube, Eric A. Kort, Gregory J. Frost, Thomas B. Ryerson, Steven C. Wofsy, Michael Trainer

NOAA/ESRL/CSD, CU-Boulder, LBNL, Sandia NL, Harvard U

Methodolog

Results

Background

- There are large uncertainties in the spatial distribution, magnitude and trends of CH₄ emissions.
- Some of CH₄ sources have been changing rapidly in recent years (natural gas).
- In California, CH₄ emissions have been regulated.
- Understanding of California's CH₄ sources is incomplete, leading to uncertainty in the application of mitigating regional CH₄ emissions.



1. The South Coast Air Basin (Los Angeles)



Natural gas pipelines, geologic seeps, and the oil and gas industries accounted for most of the underestimation in current regional bottom-up CH₄ inventories (Peischl et al. 2013).

1. The South Coast Air Basin (Los Angeles)





- Atmospheric transport modeling using NEI2005 underestimate CH₄ mixing ratios in atmosphere.
- The mean bias is ~50 ppb.
- Need to optimize spatially-resolved
 CH₄ emission inventories

2.Central Valley: San Joaquin Valley



Much less top-down CH₄ emission studies in the Central Valley because of insufficient atmospheric measurements in complex terrain.

 \succ The contribution of CH₄ sources to the underestimation in bottom-up inventories remains unclear

2.Central Valley: San Joaquin Valley



Results

Conclusions

Aircraft measurements CalNex 2010



Aircraft can accurately measure CH₄-mixing ratios near surface sources with extensive spatial coverage and identify contributions from individual sources, thereby complementing ground-based and remote-sensing measurements, especially for areas with complex terrain and multiple emission sources.

Inverse Modeling

Lagrangian inverse system in Mesoscale



Inversions in the lognormal framework

$$J = \frac{1}{2} (\ln(y_o) - \ln(Hx))^T R^{-1} (\ln(y_o) - \ln(Hx)) + \frac{1}{2} (\ln(x) - \ln(x_b))^T B^{-1} (\ln(x) - \ln(x_b))$$



Numerical solution

- For each flight we give a background
- No negative fluxes, obtain a minimum

Results

The South Coast Air Basin (Los Angeles)



We estimate total CH₄ emissions in SoCAB is 406± 81 Gg/yr, which is consistent with previous top-down studies

The South Coast Air Basin (Los Angeles)



- The largest differences between posterior and prior estimates are from oil and gas sector and landfills together.
- > Oil/gas and landfill: 347 ± 71 Gg CH₄/yr (1.8 higher than the prior)
- > Dairy: 52 ± 15 Gg CH_4 /yr (twice the prior)

Central Valley: the San Joaquin Valley



We estimate total CH₄ emissions in the San Joaquin Valley is 1086± 350 Gg/yr

The optimized CH₄ emission estimates improve correlations between simulations and observations and reduce the mean bias.

Cui, YY et al., in revision, 2016

Central Valley: the Sacramento Valley

1.5

0.5

0.5

0

-0.5



- \blacktriangleright We focus on CH₄ emissions from rice paddies
- Inverse modeling capture the variations of CH₄ emissions between before and during the rice growing season
- We estimate 11±2 Mg CH₄/hr attributed to rice during the growing season in June 2010, a factor of 3.7 higher than the prior

Cui, YY et al., in revision, 2016

Methodolog



Central Valley

- Livestock sources contribute 75% and oil/gas productions contribute 15% in the San Joaquin Valley. Rice paddies contribute 60% in the Sacramento Valley.
- CH₄ emissions from livestock are higher by 50% than the prior (CALGEM), and oil/gas productions are higher by 70%.
- Livestock sources contribute the most of the discrepancies between the posterior and the prior inventories.

| | Livestock | | | | | Active Wells | | | | | Rice | | |
|--------|-----------|-------|-------|---------|------|--------------|------|------|---------|------|-------|------|--------|
| Domain | Prior | Inv | | Contrib | | Duion | Inv | | Contrib | | Drion | Inv | Conrib |
| Mg/hr | | May | June | Мау | June | F1101 | May | June | May | June | PIIOF | June | June |
| SJV | 61 | 91±31 | 95±27 | 73% | 78% | 12 | 23±9 | 17±6 | 19% | 14% | 3 | - | - |
| SV | | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | | 11±2 | 61% |

Cui, YY et al., in revision, 2016

Conclusions

We take advantage of aircraft measurements to optimize spatially-resolved CH₄ inventories:

SoCAB (Urban)

- A mesoscale inversion ensemble is used to optimize CH₄ emissions. Total emission estimates are consistent with previous top-down estimates. Posterior inventory improve simulations of CH₄ mixing ratios.
- Oil and gas sources and landfills together explain most of the differences between posterior and prior inventories.

Central Valley (Agriculture)

- A mesoscale inversion ensemble is used to optimize CH₄ emissions, complementing inversions using tower measurements.
- Dairy and livestock management contribute the most of the differences between posterior and prior inventories.
- We estimate CH₄ emissions from rice growing season in the Sacramento Valley are higher by factor of 3.7 than the bottom-up inventory (CALGEM).