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## Carbonyl Sulfide (OCS or COS) as a tracer for GPP



Campbell et al., 2008

- North America summer drawdown of atmospheric COS related with GPP
- COS Plant uptake $F=G P P \cdot \frac{[C O S]}{\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]} \cdot V_{C O S / \mathrm{CO}_{2}}$
- $\mathrm{Vcos} / \mathrm{co}_{2}$ : Leaf-scale relative uptake


Whelan et al., 2018

- Bottom-up global budget of COS
- Non-wetland ecosystems mainly plant uptake
- Also significant anthropogenic sources


## COS measurement campaigns -2015, 2016, 2017 Hyytiälä -2014, 2018, 2019 Lutjewad



Hyytiälä:

- COS 1 Hz from Groningen: profile + chambers (Kooijmans et al., 2016)
- COS 10 Hz from Helsinki: eddy covariance (Kohonen et al., 2019)
- Groningen COS overall uncertainty (1-s): $7.1 \mathrm{ppt} \operatorname{COS}, 0.22 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, (calibration, water vapor corrections etc.)


## Hyytiälä Measurement campaigns

COS and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ measurements:

- Eddy-covariance ( 23 m )
- Profile: 0.5, 4, 14, 23, 125 m
- Soil chamber fluxes
- Branch chamber fluxes
- Meteorological variables: radiation. and humidity, Radon


## Branch chamber



Soil chamber


## Hyytiälä ecosystem fluxes COS and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}-2015$



Time series of ecosystem $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, COS fluxes in 2015 Diurnal cycles of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, COS fluxes Jul\&Aug Nighttime COS fluxes Nighttime COS uptake (Jul - Nov) contributes to 21 \% of the total daily uptake Kooijmans et al., 2017 Soil/total ecosystem COS flux: Nighttime (Jul - Nov): 34-40 \%; Daytime (Jul): 13 \%

## Hyytiälä GPP estimates based on leaf chamber measurements COS and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}-2017$



Time series of hourly fluxes and meteo.



GPP estimates based on COS and standard methods

Kooijmans et al., 2019

## Hyytiälä responses of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{CO}}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{CO}}$ and LRU to light and of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cos }}$ to $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{cos}}$




## Seasonal variation of light-saturated LRU



Hyytiälä seasonal variation of light-saturated LRU


Frequency distribution (bars) and a lognormal fit (solid line) to published values ( $n=53$ ) of the leaf relative uptake rate of C 3 species.

Whelan et al., 2018

## Lutjewad elevated COS measurements



## Mobile van COS measurements Groningen province



- Lutjewad
- Ploughing
- Delfzijl
- Industrial area
- Suike Unie
- Sugar factory


## Delfzijl Industrial observed COS enhancements




- High correlation with CO , with a ratio of $9.6 \mathrm{ppt}(\mathrm{COS}) / \mathrm{ppb}(\mathrm{CO})$

Sources: Combined heat and power plant; metal smelting; Alloys production, waste and soil treatment; Bio-methanol production

## SuikerUnie Sugar factory - COS emissions



- COS enhancements observed, significant $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ enhancements, but not collocated

Summary of preliminarily estimated emissions of various sources

| Source | COS enhancements | Distance from source | Estimated COS emissions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SuikerUnie | $0.71-1.27 \mathrm{ppb}$ | $\sim 300 \mathrm{~m}$ | $2.35-4.21 \mathrm{~kg}(\mathrm{~S}) / \mathrm{y}$ |
| ChemiePark | $1.32-6.97 \mathrm{ppb}$ | $\sim 400 \mathrm{~m}$ | $3.84-31.8 \mathrm{~kg}(\mathrm{~S}) / \mathrm{y}$ |
| Silicon carbide (SiC) facility | $0.42-0.69 \mathrm{ppb}$ | $\sim 600 \mathrm{~m}$ | $3.39-5.52 \mathrm{~kg}(\mathrm{~S}) / \mathrm{y}$ |
| Ploughing agricultural land | $\sim 0 \mathrm{ppb}$ | $\sim 100 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\sim 0 \mathrm{~kg}(\mathrm{~S}) / \mathrm{y}$ |

## Conclusions

Hyytiälä boreal forest
Significant COS uptake during night

- $21 \%$ of daily total fluxes
- Soil /total ecosystem COS flux: Nighttime: 34-40 \%; Daytime: 13 \%

Leaf chamber measurements

- COS uptake mainly controlled by $g_{s_{-}}$cos , and also limited by $g_{i_{-} \cos }$ under high light
- LRU varies with light and VPD in the peak growing season

Lutjewad amospheric site

- COS spikes observed occasionally at the Lutjewad station
- Anthropogenic emissions observed from chemical facilities and sugar factory
- No ploughing COS emissions detected

