TRENDS IN TROPICAL OZONE & CONVECTION (1998-2018) BASED ON V06 SHADOZ PROFILES

Anne Thompson (anne.m.thompson@nasa.gov) with Ryan Stauffer, J. C. Witte,^{*} D. E. Kollonige, K. Wargan, J. R. Ziemke

*@ NCAR/EOL; all others at NASA-Goddard, Greenbelt, MD

NOAA e-GMAC Session, 17-7-2020

GRL, submitted, June 2020. https://www.essoar.org/doi/10.1002/essoar.10503415.1



OUTLINE



Background: Why look at tropical FT (free troposphere, 5-15 km) and LMS (lowermost stratosphere, 15-20 km) ozone trends?

Climatology of FT & LMS Ozone & Convection at 5 SHADOZ sites

- Seasonal O₃ variations correlate with convective variations
- "Convective Proxy" = Gravity-wave (GWI) signal in O₃, PT laminae
- Trends (1998-2018) in O₃, GWI, tropopause height computed with MLR, assuming QBO, ENSO, IOD oscillations, annual cycle, solar cycle



Background 1:- Motivating Uncertainties





- LEFT. "Validated satellite" FT O₃ products (Gaudel et al., 2018). Trends diverge in region, magnitude, sign. POSITIVE tropical trends: <u>OMI based: ~10-25%/ decade, ~2005-2017</u>
- RIGHT. Tropical LMS O₃ Satellite "products," Ball et al. (2018) => <u>-5%/decade</u>, 1998-2017 (upper) or MERRA trend, <u>+~5%/decade</u> (Wargan et al., 2018, lower).



Background 2: Role of Convection



 Determine if trends are related to seasonal processes, ie convection (D-J-F-M-A) inferred by O₃/PT laminae







OUTLINE



- Background: Why look at tropical FT (free troposphere, 5-15 km) and LMS (lowermost stratosphere, 15-20 km) ozone trends?
- Climatology of FT & LMS Ozone & Convection at 5 SHADOZ sites
 - Seasonal O₃ variations correlate with convective variations
 - "Convective Proxy" = Gravity-wave (GWI) signal in O₃, PT laminae
- Trends (1998-2018) in O₃, GWI, tropopause height computed with MLR, assuming QBO, ENSO, IOD oscillations, annual cycle, solar cycle

FT & LMS Ozone Trends (%/decade; cyan significant)





First part of year (most convective), trends positive in FT and LMS. Except for SC-Para, FT mid-latter part of year is slightly negative. LMS negative latter part of year except Samoa.

Trends in MFT (5-10 km), UFT (10-15 km), LMS (15-20 km) Ozone: %/decade, bold significant

NA S

in adara



Only one station – SC + Para has significant FT & LMS Annual trend! All others in isolated, seasons, layers!!

Trends in Convection, Tropopause Height Are Consistent with Ozone Trends Nairobi 380 K Alt., GWI, O2 Trends SC-Para 380 K Alt., GWI, O2 Trends Nat-Asc 380 K Alt., GWI, O₂ Trends (m/decade) (m/decade) 300 300 /de 200 £ 20 Trend Trend Trend Trend -20 0^m -40 au -20 0^m -40 au -20 0^m -40 and -200 Alt. \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} -60 B -60 8 -60 S © -300 ∞ **⊗** -300 80 ₩ 380 K Alt. Trends ₩ 15-20 km GWI Trends ₩ 15-20 km O, Trends −380 K Alt. Trends → 15-20 km GWI Trends → 15-20 km O, Trends

Month

Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec

LMS 0₃ trend

TH (380K) trend

Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec

Convective (GWI) trend

Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec

 Suppressed Jan-Apr. convection leads to lower tropopause, FT ozone increase ~5%/decade. With less mixing, detrainment, FT ozone builds up.
Mid-year increases in convection, tropopause height (380 K) ~100-250 m

decreases LMS ozone ~5%/decade. LMS O₃-TH anti-correlated (.7-.9)

Trends in Convection, Tropopause Height Are Consistent with Ozone Trends





- Suppressed Jan-Apr. convection leads to lower tropopause, FT ozone increase. With less mixing, detrainment, FT ozone builds up.
- Mid-year: increases in convection, "tropopause height" (380 K) ~100-250 m, decreases LMS ozone ~5%/decade. LMS O₃-TH anti-correlated (.7-.9)



Summary



- Ozone Trends: Only 1 of 5 SHADOZ stations has "robust" annual changes, ~5%/dec FT O₃ increase and ~3%/dec LMS O₃ loss. Seasonal & regional trends from SHADOZ – gold standard for satellite, model trend evaluation
- Convective Influences? Seasonality of FT ozone increases, LMS losses coincide with changes in convection but mechanisms require further study. Do mid-year tropopause height increases stem from more convection?
- FT Ozone Results: (1) Jan.-April is annual FT O₃ minimum, so convective changes could be modifying O₃ profile distribution. (2) Zonal distribution of SHADOZ sites suggests that dynamical factors are perturbing O₃ across the tropics. Such changes could underlie widespread O₃ growth due to emissions





THANK YOU FOR ATTENTION!

- NASA SUPPORT: Upper Atmospheric Composition Observations Program (Ken Jucks, HQ) for SHADOZ support. Additional funding from USRA/NPP to RMS, ISS/SAGE III to AMT, GMAO to KW
- NOAA SHADOZ PARTNERSHIP: Ozone & WV Group: B. Johnson, P. Cullis, I. Petropavlovskikh (LOTUS Report)
- **<u>COMMENTS</u>**: O. Cooper (NOAA/CSD), W. Randel (NCAR)



CI Trends?

- GWI computed from amount of O₃ in segments affected by GW as determined from PT, O₃ laminae (LID method). TTL laminae assumed to be proxy for convective impacts in troposphere. (Nairobi examples)
- Possible decline in GWI? Does this mean less convection and more ozone (pollution) buildup in Jan-April in FT and TTL?
- Other sites less clear... <u>Needs</u> <u>more study</u>





FT Ozone – Convection (GWF) Link Based on Self-Organizing Maps (SOM)



